UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,000	05/03/2006	Shizuo Manabe	HIR-0037	5200
	7590 08/18/200 IAN & GRAUER PL I	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			WANG, JIN CHENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/578,000	MANABE, SHIZUO
Examiner	Art Unit
JIN-CHENG WANG	2628

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ILY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than t may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as hree months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within t <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: See below. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). — le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) winder how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Il not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See below.	
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/13. ☐ Other: 	'SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/Jin-Cheng Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of Item 3(a):

The amendment after final does not place the application in condition for allowance because the amended claim does not overcome the rationale of rejection set forth in the Final Rejection dated 3/4/2009. For example, the new amendment limits the claim to computer readable storage medium. However, limiting the claim to computer readable storage medium does not add any practical limitation to the scope of the claim. Such a field-of-use limitation is insufficient to render an otherwise ineligible claim patent eligible. In essence applicant is preempting all substantial uses of the claimed abstract idea. See In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008). In re Abele and Marshall, 214 USPQ 682 (C.C.P.A. 1982). US Patent and Trademark Office Appeal No. 2008-1495, Ex parte Lars Langemyr et al., decided May 28, 2008. Additionally the storage medium limitation does not make the non-statutory method statutory in view of US Patent and Trademark Office Appeal No. 2008-1495, Ex parte Lars Langemyr et al., decided May 28, 2008. US Patent and Trademark Office Appeal No. 2008-1495, Ex parte Lars Langemyr et al., decided May 28, 2008. US Patent and Trademark Office Appeal No. 2008-1495, Ex parte Lars Langemyr et al., decided January 13, 2009.

Continuation of Item 11:

Applicant argues in essence with respect to the claim 1 and similar claims that Kobari fails to disclose a placement to place a character string along a prospective guide line that is located at the center of prospective guide lines that are longer than the longest horizontal segment of the area of the character string. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Kobari teaches that each circumscribed quadrangle has two horizontal prospective guide lines.

Kobari teaches at Drawing #3 that at least two horizontal prospective guide lines for each circumscribed quadrangle 6 and at least two horizontal prospective guide lines for each circumscribed quadrangle 5 along with a centerline of the quadrangles or the horizontal line are drawn in the figure, meeting the claimed prospective guide line(s). Kobari teaches at at Drawing# 5 and Paragraph 0017 that the actual breadth of the character string circumscribed quadrangle 6 in the middle point of the lengthwise direction of the circumscribed quadrangle 5 of a polygon should have more than a character string width + threshold, thus the two horizontal guide lines of the quadrangle are longer than the character string, meeting the claimed "longer than the longest horizontal segment of the area of the character string string).